# Volatile Compounds from *Medicago* Spp. as Potential Signals for Alfalfa Weevil Response

Robert J. Core,<sup>+</sup> John A. Henning,<sup>\*,+</sup> and Jorge Gardea-Torresdey<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003, and Department of Chemistry, University of Texas, El Paso, Texas 79968

Alfalfa weevils (AW), Hypera postica (Gyllenhal), are important pests of alfalfa, Medicago sativa L. Although no resistant cultivars exist, certain wild Medicago species with erect glandular hairs impart repellency to AW. It is felt that leaf and stem volatile compounds work in conjunction with trichomes to produce antixenosis. Our study isolated and tentatively identified volatile compound differences among four alfalfa cultivars and three wild Medicago species using supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Ninety-six volatile compounds present in the leaves and stems of at least one of the entries were observed. Of these, 17 were unique to the wild species. Three unique compounds, 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanol, (Z)-geraniol, and hexahydrofarnesol are particularly interesting because of their similarity to known insect pheromones. Knowledge of Medicago species volatile compounds should provide a nascent foundation for the pursuit of AW antixenosis.

Keywords: Alfalfa; volatiles; glandular hairs; insect repellents

## INTRODUCTION

An apparent overlapping of the Egyptian and western strains of alfalfa weevil (AW) affects alfalfa production in many areas of the western United States (Hsiao, 1993). Yield reduction is caused by losses attributed to both larval and adult feeding (Bjork and Davis, 1984; Manglitz and Ratcliffe, 1988). No AW resistant cultivars exist (Hodgson and Posler, 1986), and the degree of tolerance available in some varieties is unacceptable to alfalfa growers (Kennedy et al., 1987).

Biological control efforts toward weevils in the western United States have been hampered by the aforementioned mixing of strains. Eggs of an AW parasitoid, *Bathyplectes curculionis*, are unaffected by western weevil strains but are encapsulated and destroyed by the Egyptian variety; the effectiveness of this parasitoid is therefore reduced in overlapping zones (Hsiao, 1993).

Successful control measures include early harvest and chemical control (Danielson et al., 1987b; Keith et al., 1982). Low biomass accumulation, stand decline, and decrease in stand productivity are undesirable results of early harvest (Manglitz and Ratcliffe, 1988). Chemical controls are effective but have severe limitations, namely, excessive cost, environmental side effects, threat to beneficial insects, and unavailability due to loss of registry (Moffett et al., 1986).

We seek a genetic solution to overcome the drawbacks associated with the previously discussed approaches. Previous research with AW repellency has uncovered a number of wild *Medicago* species that have shown significant (albeit inconsistent in some cases) AW resistance (Barnes and Ratcliffe, 1969; Johnson et al.,

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail jhenning@dante.nmsu.edu).

<sup>†</sup>New Mexico State University.

<sup>‡</sup>University of Texas; formerly with the Soil, Water, and Air Testing facility at NMSU.

1980a,b; Shade et al., 1975). Nonpreference in these cases was attributed to tactile antixenosis caused by erect glandular hairs present on *Medicago* leaves and stems. Mere trichome density was thought to be the sole basis of repellency (Danielson et al., 1986, 1987a,b; Johnson et al., 1981). Behavioral research has been done with the volatile (Z)-oxacyclotridec-10-enone found in *Medicago rugosa* Desr. as a potential AW repellent, but the results have been discouraging (Doss et al., 1989; Doss and Johnson, 1991). Our hypothesis, echoing that of Doss et al. (1989), is that plant volatile compounds, in conjunction with trichomes, impart antixenosis. The objective of this research was to identify differences in volatile compounds among four alfalfa cultivars and three wild *Medicago* species.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seven entries representing different Medicago accessions were chosen for testing. Four of these were alfalfa cultivars: Caliverde, Caliverde 65, CUF 101, and Moapa 69. The others were wild Medicago germplasm sources: KS94GH6, M. glandulosa David (Sorensen et al., 1986), KS108GH5, M. glutinosa Marshall von Bieberstein (Sorensen et al., 1985), and M. scutellata (L.) Mill (PI505433). All plant materials were grown and maintained in a glasshouse (16:8 h, light/dark photoperiod,  $30 \pm 3$  °C, day,  $24 \pm 3$  °C, night). All tested varieties were seeded into prepared beds in the glasshouse except M. scutellata and an individual M. glandulosa clone 18 (selected for numerous and large trichomes), which were grown in pots with a 1.2 mixture of vermiculite/potting soil (Metromix 360 from Greenhouse and Garden Supplies, Albuquerque, NM). The entries were sampled for plant volatile compounds four weeks after being cut back. Plant tissue samples consisted of stem and leaf tissue from the apical meristem to the fifth internode  $(as \ measured \ from \ the \ apical \ meristem).$ 

Fresh plant tissue (1.5 g) was (1) harvested from plants during peak volatile emanation of volatile compounds (11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.) (Loper and Lapioli, 1972), (2) sealed in plastic resealable bags and stored at -86 °C until prepared for SFE, (3) macerated and placed into a 7 mL extraction



Figure 1. Chromatogram from wild *Medicago* accession KS94GH6 (M. glandulosa David) demonstrating the relative abundances of (1) 1-hepten-3-ol, (2) (Z)-geraniol, (3) 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanol, (4) 7-tetradecene, and (5) siloxane contaminant.



**Figure 2.** Chromatogram from alfalfa (M. sativa L.) variety CUF101 demonstrating the relative abundances of (1) 1-hepten-3-ol, (2) 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanol, and (3) siloxane contaminant.

vessel, and (4) selectively extracted for volatile compounds by SFE (Hewlett-Packard Model 7680A; Palo Alto, CA), using  $CO_2$  as the supercritical fluid. The SFE methodology used for extraction of plant volatiles was that reported by Henning et al. (1994). The resulting extracted compounds, in 0.5 mL of HPLC grade hexane, were transferred into 2 mL automatic injection vials, sealed with caps and septa, and immediately analyzed by GC-MS.

Samples were assayed qualitatively on a Varian Inc. (Sugarland, TX) ion-trap GC-MS, Saturn model. The GC-MS utilized a Restek Corp. (Bellefonte, PA)  $R_{tx}$ -5 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d.) with 0.25  $\mu$ m coating of 95% dimethyl/5% diphenyl polysiloxane. The temperature program followed a linear ramp of 5 °C/min from 45 °C to the final temperature of 200 °C. Both the initial and final temperatures were held constant for five minutes. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) library (1990) of mass spectra was the reference for volatile identification. We report only those compounds exhibiting a greater than 90% match to the spectra from a known compound in the NIST library.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

All genetic sources yielded interpretable mass spectra. We were able to identify 96 different volatile compounds from at least one of the seven genetic sources (Table 1). Although intended primarily to augment a previous list of alfalfa leaf and stem volatiles (Buttery and Kamm, 1980), a few comparisons seem appropriate: (1) Buttery and Kamm (1980) found 1-octen-3-ol to be by far their most abundant compound—we found 1-hepten-3-ol to be similarly abundant (Figures 1 and 2); (2) most of their



**Figure 3.** Chemical structures of (Z)-geraniol, isogeraniol (Hedin et al., 1988), and geranylacetone (Buttery and Kamm,

1980).

volatiles were unmethylated, whereas our findings showed considerable methylation, i.e., about 10% of their compounds have methyl side chains in contrast to almost 50% methyl group attachment with our volatiles; (3) they reported geranylacetone and we isolated (Z)-geraniol. These two volatile compounds differ in mass and structure (Figure 3). Any differences between Buttery and Kamm (1980) and our report could be attributed to species and varietal differences, environmental growing conditions [field grown (Buttery and Kamm) versus greenhouse], or the extraction method [Soxhlet and headspace (Buttery and Kamm) versus SFE].

Interestingly, the four alfalfa cultivars exhibited similar volatile compound makeup (Table 1). Only germacrene B and megastigma-4,6(Z),8(Z)-triene were isolated from one alfalfa cultivar (CUF 101) but not from the others. On the other hand, wild *Medicago* species showed marked variability in their chemical constitutions, both among and within species, i.e., KS94GH6 seeded into a bed versus KS94GH6 grown in a pot. This result may be due to intraspecific differences or to the method of growth. Contaminants containing siloxane were present and were generally observed as compounds with late retention times greater than 35 min. We believe the majority of these contaminants may have been generated when the autosampler needle poked a hole through the extraction vial septum.

Because our ultimate objective was to identify AWrepelling volatile compounds, we were primarily interested in volatiles that were present in at least one AWresistant wild species but not (or in small amounts) detectable in *M. sativa*. We observed 17 volatile compounds that met this criterion: 3-octanol; 2,7-dimethyl-2,6-octadien-1-ol (much higher abundances in wild species); (*Z*)-geraniol; 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanol; hexahydrofarnesol; (*Z*,*E*)-5,10-pentadecadien-1-ol; heptanal; 3,7-dimethyl-2,6-octadienal; 4-(1-hydroxyethyl)benzaldehyde; ethyl undecanoate; 1-(2-propenyloxy)heptane; 2,9-dimethyldecane; (*E*,*E*)-1,5-cyclododecadiene; 1,(*E*)8,(*Z*)10-tetradecatriene; 7-tetradecene; 2-methoxy-4-(2-propenyl)phenol; 3,4-diethylphenol (Table 1).

One of these compounds unique to the wild germplasm accessions, (Z)-geraniol, resembles isogeraniol, documented as a possible AW pheromone by Hedin et al. (1988) (Figure 3). Hexahydofarnesol is also interesting because a similar compound, (E)- $\beta$ -farnesene, is a known alarm pheromone of pea aphids Acyrthosiphon pisum (Harris) (Nault et al., 1973).

We observed seven volatile compounds that were present in M. sativa but not in the wild germplasm Table 1. Tentative Presence or Absence of Volatile Compounds in Four Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) Cultivars[Caliverde (CAL), CUF101 (CUF), Caliverde 65 (C65), and Moapa 69 (M69)] and Three Wild Medicago Accessions[KS94GH6 (K94 and C18), KS108GH5 (K108), and M. scutellata (MSC)] with Their Chemical Abstracts Service RegistryNumbers<sup>a</sup> (CAS) and Their Retention Times

		Medicago sativa			wild species				retention	
compound	CAS	CAL	CUF	C65	M69	K94	C18	K108	MSC	time (s)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1							
Alcohols										
1,5-neptadiene-3,4-diol	01940-98-3	A V	A V	A V	A V	А	А	А		521
4,5-octanedioi	22607-10-9	A V	A V	A V	A V		17	37	v	090 CD 4h
2-nonanoi	628-99-9	A V	X	X	X	37	Х	X	X	6340
5-methyl-3-hexanol	623-55-2	X	X	X	X	X		Х	Х	659
2-nexanol	626-93-7	X	X	X	X	Х	X			670
1-methyl-1-cyclopentanol	1462-03-9	X	X	X	X		X	X	Х	690
1-hepten-3-ol	4938-52-7	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		718
3-octanol	589-98-0						X			750 <sup>0.c</sup>
benzenemethanol	100-51-6	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	834
(Z)-2-octen-1-ol	26001-58-1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	$905^{b,c}$
(E)-2-decen-1-ol	18409-18-2	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	978
benzeneethanol	60-12-8	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	995
2,7-dimethyl-2,6-octadien-1-ol	22410-74-8	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X		1216
3,3,4-trimethyl-1-pentanol	65502-58-1	Х	Х	Х	Х			X		1249
(Z)-geraniol	106 - 25 - 2					Х	Х	Х		1262
2-ethyl-2-hexen-1-ol	50634-00-4	Х	Х	Х	Х					1433
4-ethylbenzenemethanol	768-59-2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		1802
cyclododecanol	1724 - 39 - 6	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	1838
(E,E)-5,10-pentadecadien-2-ol	64275 - 46 - 3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1857
1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)cyclohexanol	21129 - 27 - 1					Х	Х		Х	1983
2-pentadecyn-1-ol	2834-00-6	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2099
6(Z),9(Z)-pentadecadien-1-ol	77899-11-7	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		2216
hexahydrofarnesol	6750 - 34 - 1					Х	Х		Х	2291
(Z,E)-5,10-pentadecadien-1-ol	64275 - 60 - 1					Х				2366
		41	debudee							
1	111 71 7	AI	denydes						v	F 41h
(T) O(T) A b = A a d b = a	111-71-7	v	v	v	v	v	v	v	л	0410
(E)2,(E)4-neptadienal	4313-03-5	A V	A V	A V	A V	А	A V	А		(00
(Z)-2-heptanal	57266-86-1	A V	A V	A V	A V		A V	77		823
nonadien- $2(E)$ , $6(Z)$ -al	557-48-2	A V	A V	A V	A V		X	A W		1075
(E)-2-nonenal	18829-56-6	X	X	X	X	37	X	X		1088
$\beta$ -cyclocitral	432-25-7	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х		1205°
3,7-dimethyl-2,6-octadienal	5392-40-5					Х	X			1283
2,2-dimethylpropanal	630-19-3	Х	Х	Х	Х		X	X		1406
4-(1-hydroxyethyl)benzaldehyde	80463-21-4					Х	Х	Х		1424
2-isononenal	53966-58-8	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1599
(Z)-3,7-dimethyl-6-oxo-2-octenal	70856-11-0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		1904
tridecanal	10 <b>486-19-</b> 8	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		1982
		2	mines							
2-nurrolino	109-96-6	ví	V	v	x	v	v	x	x	1479
3-pyrrollite	103-30-0	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	л	Λ	Λ	Λ	14/5
	A	romatic	e Hydroca	rbons						
1,2,3-trimethylbenzene	526-73-8	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х		743
			Esters							
(7)-3-beveryl acetate	3681-71-8	x	Y	x	x	x	x	x		778b.c
(Z) - 5-fiexelly i acetate (Z) - 2 hoven 1 of honzooto	25152 85 6	X X	v v	x x	x X	x x	x x	л		1783
(Z)-5-nexen-1-of benzoate	5100 65 7	л v	v v	v v	N V	v v	v v	v	v	2100
0 metholdosensis said methol sater	5129-00-7	A V	v v	A V	A V	v v	A V	A V	N V	2129
8-methyldecanoic acid methyl ester	0129-04-0	л	Λ	л	л	A V	A V	A V	л	2207
etnyl undecanoate	627-90-7					А	А	А		2375
		]	Ethers							
(butoxymethyl)oxirane	2426-08-6	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х		550
2-ethyl-3-propyloxirane	53897-32-8	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	647
		ਸ਼ਾਰ	Irocorbon	0						
	ECOED DE D	nyu v	v	s v	v	v	v	v	v	595
5-(pentyloxy)-1-pentene	00002-00-0	A V	A V	A V	A V	A V	A V	A V	л	000
1-methyl-3-ethylcyclopentane	3/20-4/-4	A V	A V		A V	л	л			670
4-metnyl-3-neptene	4400-10-9	A V	A V	A V	A V	v	v	A V	v	694 702
3,5,5-trimetnyl-1-nexene	4310-00-0	A V	A V	A V	A V	A V	A V	A V	л	703
2,4,4-trimethylnexane	10/4/-30-1	A V		A V	A V	A V	A V	А		133
2,2,3,3-tetramethylnexane	134/0-81-0	A V	A V	A V	A V	А	A V	v		108
2-propenylidenecyclobutene	02097-80-0 1079 05 5	A V	A V	A V			А	А		892
2,0-almethylneptane	1072-00-0	л	А	л	л	v	v	v	v	882 009
1-(2-propenyloxy)heptane	10019-24-7	v	$\mathbf{v}$	v	$\mathbf{v}$	л	л	л	л	909
1-nonyne	3452-09-3	A v	A V	A V	A V	v	v	v	$\mathbf{v}$	912
2,5-nonagiene	22433-34-7	A V	A V	A V	A v	A V	A V	A V	A v	927
2,0,7-trietnyoidecane	04108-25-2	A V	A V	A V		A V	A V	A V	A V	909 1175
2,3,0-trimetnyi-1,0-neptadiene	14421-30-0 74664 14 5	A V	A V	A V	A V	л	А	A V	л	11/0
butenyicycionexene	14004-14-5	A V	A V	A V	A V			A v		1293
Dutenyicyclonexene (Isomer)	14004-14-5	А	А	А	А	v	v	X v		1311
2,9-almethyldecane	1002-17-1	v	v	v	v	X	X	X v	v	1439
$(\Delta)$ -4-tridecen-b-yne	14144-42-6	X	X	X	X	х	х	Х	Х	1473
2-methyl-8-propyldodecane	55045-07-3	Х	Х	Х	Х					1911

#### Table 1 (Continued)

		Medicago sativa			wild species				retention	
compound	CAS	CAL	CUF	C65	M69	K94	C18	K108	MSC	time (s)
	Hydrocarbons									
$(E)$ - $\beta$ -farnesene	18794-84-8	х	х	х	х			Х		1605°
3-octadecyne	61886-64-4	х	х	х	х			Х	х	1687
(E,E)-1,5-cyclododecadiene	1684-05-5							Х	Х	1778
1,(E)8,(Z)10-tetradecatriene	80625-31-6					х	Х		Х	1886
2,6,10-trimethyldodecane	3891-98-3	х	х	х	х	х	Х		х	1938
megastigma-4, 6(Z), 8(Z)-triene	71186-25-9		Х			х	х	х	Х	2078
7-tetradecene	10374-74-0					х	х	Х	Х	2197
3-methylene-1,6-heptadiene	16626 - 48 - 5	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	2224
3-methyltridecane	6418-41-3	х	х	х	х					2227
1,6,9-tetradecatriene	61233-71-4	х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х	2279
heptadecane	629-78-7	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	2390
germacrene B	15423-57-1		х				Х	х		2447
	Imides									
methylethylmaleimide	20189-42-8	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1225
	Ketones									
3,4-epoxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone	4478-63-1	х	х	х	х	х	х	х		509
5,6-decanedione	5579-73-7	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	889
dihydro-3-methylene-2,5-furandione	2170-03-8	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х		939
(E)-3,7-octadien-2-one	25172-06-9	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Х		959
2-methyl-6-heptanone	928-68-7	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	987
2-nitrothiopene	609-40-5	Х	х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	1108
3-methyl-4-methylene-2-hexanone	20690-71-5	х	х	х	х			Х		1342
2-propyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione	54244-73-4	Х	х	х	х					1420
2-tert-butyl-4-methylfuran	6141-68-0	Х	х	х	х					1449
6-methyl-5-nitro-2-heptanone	66972-02-9	Х	х	Х	Х	х	х			1520
5-ethyl-2-methyl-4-hepten-3-one	49833-96-7	Х	Х	Х	Х		х	Х	х	1569
2,2,7,7-tetramethyl-4,5-octadien-3-one	19377-97-0	Х	х	Х	Х			х		1629
(E)-8-ionone	79-77-6	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	$1655^{b}$
5,6,7,7a-tetrahydro-4,4,7a-trimethyl-2(4H)-benzufuranone	15356-74-8	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х	1729
4-(2,6,6-trimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ylidene)-2-butanone	56052-61-0	Х	х	х	х	х	Х			1818
allethrolone	551-45-1	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	1880
6,10-dimethyl-9-undecen-2-one	4433-36-7	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			2154
	Lactones									
2,3-dimethyl-4-hydroxy-2-butenoic lactone	1575-46-8	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	х		839
	Phenols									
2-methoxy-4-(2-propenyl)phenol	97-53-0					х	х			1433
3,4-diethylphenol	875-85-4					х	х			1577

<sup>a</sup> CAS Registry Numbers provided by the author. <sup>b</sup> Compounds previously reported by Buttery and Kamm (1980). <sup>c</sup> Compounds previously reported by Buttery et al. (1982).

accessions. These include 2,6-dimethylheptane, 1-nonyne, 2-propyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione, 2-ethyl-2-hexen-1ol, 2-*tert*-butyl-4-methylfuran, 2-methyl-8-propyldodecane, and 3-methyltridecane. Because alfalfa has demonstrated attractiveness to AW (Dreyer et al., 1987), one or several of these volatiles may act as attractants.

While the identification of unique volatile compounds may point toward potential AW allomones, compounds that act as repellents or attractants can be determined efficiently only by electroantennogram testing followed by behavioral bioassays. This work is currently in progress. Our eventual goal is the introgression of, or selective increase in, volatile compounds invoking antixenosis toward AW in *M. sativa*.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was funded by the New Mexico Agricultural Experiment Station and was part of the thesis submitted by the senior author in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.S. degree. We thank the staff of the Soil, Water, and Air Testing laboratory at NMSU for use of the GC-MS. We also thank Kansas State University for providing KS94GH6 and KS108GH5 alfalfa germplasm. *M. scutellata* seeds were obtained from the Plant Introduction Station at Beltsville, MD.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Barnes, D. K.; Ratcliffe, R.H. Evaluation of annual species of Medicago as sources of alfalfa weevil resistance. Crop Sci. 1969, 9, 640-642.
- Bjork, C. D.; Davis, D. W. Consumption of alfalfa by adult alfalfa weevils (Coleoptera:Curculionidae). *Environ. Ento*mol. **1984**, 13, 432-438.
- Buttery, R. G.; Kamm, J. A. Volatile components of alfalfa: possible insect host plant attractants. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1980, 28, 978-981.
- Buttery, R. G., Kamm, J. A.; Ling, L. C. Volatile components of alfalfa flowers and pods. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1982, 30, 739-742.
- Danielson, S. D.; Manglitz, G. R.; Sorensen, E. L. Development of alfalfa weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) larvae when reared on perennial glandular-haired *Medicago* species in the greenhouse. *Environ. Entomol.* 1986, 15, 396-398.
- Danielson, S. D.; Manglitz, G. R.; Sorensen, E. L. Resistance of perennial glandular-haired *Medicago* species to oviposition by alfalfa weevils (Coleoptera:Curculionidae). *Environ. Entomol.* 1987a, 16, 195-197.
- Danielson, S. D.; Manglitz, G. R.; Sorensen, E. L. Resistance in perennial glandular-haired *Medicago* species to feeding by adult alfalfa weevils (Coleoptera:Curculionidae). *Environ. Entomol.* 1987b, 16, 708-711.
- Doss, R. P.; Johnson, K. J. R. (Z)-Oxacyclotridec-10-en-2-one does not appear to be responsible for resistance to adult alfalfa weevil feeding exhibited by *Medicago rugosa*. J. Chem. Ecol. **1991**, 17 (3), 535-542.

- Doss, R. P.; Gould, S. J.; Johnson, K. J. R.; Flath, R. A.; Kohnert, R. L. (Z)-Oxacyclotridec-10-en-2-one, an alfalfa feeding deterrent from *Medicago rugosa*. *Phytochemistry* 1989, 28, 3311-3315.
- Dreyer, D. L.; Jones, K. C.; Jurd, L. Lack of chemical factors in host-plant resistance of alfalfa towards alfalfa weevil: ovicidal activity of some coumarin derivatives. J. Chem. Ecol. 1987, 13 (4), 917-923.
- Hedin, P. A.; Elsbury, M. M.; Dysart, R. J. Volatiles from clover head Hypera meles (Fab.) and alfalfa H. postica (Gyllenhal) weevils: search for pheromones. Experientia 1988, 44, 792– 794.
- Henning, J. A.; Core, R. J.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. Extracting volatile compounds from single plants using supercritical fluid extraction. Crop Sci. 1994, 34 (4), 1120-1122.
- Hodgson, P.; Posler, G. L. Alfalfa fights back: wild hairy strains courted. Crops Soils Mag. 1986, 39 (2), 56.
- Hsiao, T. H. Geographic and genetic variation among alfalfa weevil strains. In *Evolution of insect pests: The pattern of* variation; Kim, K. C., McPheron, B. A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1993.
- Johnson, K. J. R.; Sorensen, E. L.; Horber, E. K. Resistance in glandular-haired annual *Medicago* species to feeding by adult alfalfa weevils (*Hypera postica*). *Environ. Entomol.* 1980a, 9, 133-136.
- Johnson, K. J. R.; Sorensen, E. L.; Horber, E. K. Resistance of glandular-haired *Medicago* species to oviposition by alfalfa weevils (*Hypera postica*). *Environ. Entomol.* **1980b**, 9, 241– 244.
- Johnson, K. J. R.; Sorensen, E. L.; Horber, E. K. Behavior of adult alfalfa weevils (*Hypera postica*) on resistant and susceptible *Medicago* species in free-choice preference tests. *Environ. Entomol.* 1981, 10, 580-585.

- Keith, D. L.; Manglitz, G. R.; Kehr, W. R. The Alfalfa Weevil. Nebr. Ext. Serv. NebGuide 1982, G73-G80.
- Kennedy, G. C.; Gould, F.; Deponti, O. M. B.; Stinner, R. E. Ecological, agricultural, genetic and commercial considerations in the deployment of insect-resistant germplasm. *Environ. Entomol.* **1987**, *16*, 327–338.
- Loper, G. M.; Lapioli, A. M. Photoperiodic effects on the emanation of volatiles from alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) florets. *Plant Physiol.* **1972**, 49 (5), 729-732.
- Manglitz, G. R.; Ratcliffe, R. H. Insects and mites. Am. Sci. Agron. Monogr. 1988, No. 29, 671-704.
- Moffett, J. O.; Harvey, J.; Cox, R. Effect of a sticker on the toxicity of Penncap-M and Furadan to honey bees when these insecticides were sprayed on flowering alfalfa. J. Entomol. Sci. 1986, 21 (4), 294-300.
- Nault, L. R.; Edwards, L. J.; Styer, W. E. Aphid alarm pheromone: secretion and reception. *Environ. Entomol.* 1973, 2, 101-105.
- Shade, R. E.; Thompson, T. E.; Campbell, W. R. An alfalfa weevil larval resistance mechanism detected in *Medicago*. J. Econ. Entomol. **1975**, 68, 399-404.
- Sorensen, E. L.; Horber, E. K.; Stuteville, D. L. Registration of KS108GH5 glandular-haired germplasm with multiple pest resistance. Crop Sci. 1985, 25, 1132.
- Sorensen, E. L.; Horber, E. K.; Stuteville, D. L. Registration of KS94GH6 glandular-haired alfalfa germplasm with multiple pest resistance. Crop Sci. 1986, 26, 1088.

Received for review May 16, 1994. Revised manuscript received September 20, 1994. Accepted September 24, 1994.<sup>®</sup>

<sup>®</sup> Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, November 1, 1994.